

“Better family lives”: experiences of a whole family case management approach for parental alcohol misuse

Lucy Dorey & Sara Morgan

To cite this article: Lucy Dorey & Sara Morgan (2022): “Better family lives”: experiences of a whole family case management approach for parental alcohol misuse, Journal of Social Work Practice in the Addictions, DOI: [10.1080/1533256X.2022.2143081](https://doi.org/10.1080/1533256X.2022.2143081)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1533256X.2022.2143081>



© 2022 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.



Published online: 13 Nov 2022.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)




View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

“Better family lives”: experiences of a whole family case management approach for parental alcohol misuse

Lucy Dorey PHD, MEd, BSc ^a and Sara Morgan PhD, MSc, BSc^b

^aLecturer, Faculty of Medicine, School of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK; ^bAssociate Professor in Public Health, School of Primary Care, Population Sciences and Medical Education, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

ABSTRACT

The Family Support Project is a whole family case management approach based on the principles of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) and developed for families affected by parental alcohol misuse. Using thematic analysis of interviews and clinical notes, this study explored the outcomes and factors that influenced change in families. Parents misusing alcohol became aware of the impact of their drinking on family, were motivated to change, adopt new positive behaviors, and spent time positively interacting with their family. Such programs that build trust, employ a flexible whole family approach, and draw on values-based work using ACT, merit further research.

KEYWORDS



Acceptance commitment therapy; alcohol; children; community intervention; families; parental alcohol misuse

Parental alcohol misuse (PAM) refers to a spectrum of problem drinking, such as binge drinking, hazardous drinking and alcohol dependency, by adults that have parental responsibility for children (Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology, 2018). The latest Global Burden of Disease Study estimates that, in 2016, alcohol use was the leading risk factor for death and disability amongst 15–49-year-olds (Griswold et al., 2018). In England, in 2014, 189,119 children lived with at least one alcohol-dependent adult (Pryce et al., 2017).

PAM negatively impacts on the health of the child. A large UK study of data between 1988 and 2004 showed that maternal alcohol misuse was significantly associated with child injury (OR 2.33, 95% CI 1.13 to 4.82, $p < .05$; Baker et al., 2015). PAM is also associated with increased acute or chronic care needs (Balsa & French, 2012) and impacts the psychological health of the child (Malone et al., 2002, 2010; Rossow et al., 1999). Children of parents that report parental alcohol and/or drug misuse are at increased risk of adolescent substance misuse and regular alcohol use, compared to children of parents who do not report misuse, where alcohol use was four times as likely (OR = 3.83, 95% = 1.65–8.89, $p < .01$) (Keeley et al., 2015).

Programs that tackle parental alcohol and drug misuse

A few recent reviews suggest that integrated interventions that include a wider set of factors, can be more effective in reducing parental substance misuse than addressing drug use alone (McGovern et al., 2018, 2021; Usher et al., 2015). A recent Cochrane review included 22

CONTACT Lucy Dorey  l.a.dorey@soton.ac.uk  College Keep, School of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK

© 2022 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

unique studies to assess the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions in reducing parental substance use (McGovern et al., 2021). The review compared substance uses outcomes against three main types of interventions: those targeting parenting skills and family relationships, those targeting substance use, and those combining both into an integrated intervention. They found that integrated interventions, including both parenting and drug use reduction elements, were more effective than either alone; whilst interventions that included children in intervention sessions with parents led to poorer drinking outcomes. It was unclear which aspects of the interventions influenced successful outcomes, however. In a realist review of family-based interventions for children of substance misusing parents, effective intervention programs commonly targeted disadvantaged community areas, were family focussed (e.g., involve children, parenting, and family skills training components), and lasted longer than 10 weeks (Usher et al., 2015). Despite the need for family level interventions for PAM, Taylor et al. (2006) described difficulties in gaining access to families experiencing PAM due to shame, fear of negative attitudes from professionals, concerns about children being taken away, and a lack of confidence in the treatment being offered, making PAM a hidden problem (Galligan & Comiskey, 2019).

Overall, the evidence on interventions that reduce the impact of PAM is limited. Furthermore, these studies tend to focus on substance misuse and child protection outcomes, and neglect to consider a broader range of positive changes related to recovery. Some argue that 'recovery' should be conceptualized as more than a reduction in alcohol use, involving improvements in physical, emotional, spiritual, relational, and occupational health (White & Kurtz, 2006).

The family support project (UK)

The Family Support Project (FSP) was funded by Public Health England and Portsmouth City Council, to support service innovation for families in Portsmouth, England where PAM is considered a problem. The program was developed through public consultation at a stakeholder workshop held on the 14th of December 2018 and attended by stakeholders working in the local health and social care system. Following consultation, a logic model was developed outlining the overall program, what it set out to achieve and how change could be measured. The overall program goal, determined within the local stakeholder workshop, was to improve the lives of families impacted by parental alcohol misuse (F-PAM) in Portsmouth, whilst focusing on a broader range of outcomes rather than solely drinking reduction or abstinence.

The FSP family workers were recruited from a range of relevant backgrounds including alcohol and drug services, social work, counseling, homelessness or housing, mental health services, schools, and lived experience of alcohol problems. The FSP started recruiting families in February 2019, offering a 12-week intervention. Referral routes into the service were via statutory alcohol and drug services, children's social care, early help, and, occasionally, via schools. Families also self-referred after hearing about the service on the media or through a community service. Each family was assigned an FSP family worker who undertook an assessment of their values, strengths, and needs. This was named the whole family case management approach.

At the start of the program development, FSP family workers were trained to deliver Acceptance and Commitment Training (Hayes et al., 1999), Motivational Interviewing

(Miller & Rollnick, 2012), and parental conflict resolution. Drawing on these resources, the intervention was organized in response to the needs of the presenting families. The approach they took was theoretically consistent with ACT, while integrating learning from the other models. Two tools from the ACT approach, the Valued Living Questionnaire and the ACT Matrix, provided a structure to the intervention. ACT has been shown to be a promising intervention for adults with substance misuse and alcohol problems (Byrne et al., 2019; Hayes & Levin, 2012; Meyer et al., 2018; Petersen & Zettle, 2009; Thekiso et al., 2015), as well as for children, adolescents, and families (Coyne et al., 2011).

The Valued Living Questionnaire (VLQ) is an ACT tool that formed part of the intervention at assessment and at review sessions after 12 weeks (Wilson et al., 2010). The VLQ consists of 10 living domains: *parenting, work, partner/intimate relations, learning and education, other family relationships, recreation, friends, community, physical health, personal development/spirituality*. Family members were asked to rate the 10 living domains for *importance* and for the *energy/time* they gave to this aspect of their life (out of 10). By highlighting any discrepancy between what was considered important to the family and their reality, the VLQ was used to aid goal setting in line with family priorities and as a tool to motivate a change in drinking (Miller et al., 2016). Practitioners also used the ACT Matrix – a tool used to facilitate the development of psychological flexibility (Polk et al., 2016). Using the Matrix, practitioners are able to initiate a process that increases an individual's awareness of the divergence of their behavior from their chosen values, and to promote insight into the role of experiential avoidance in driving unhelpful behaviors such as drinking excessively. Participants are guided to become aware of the unwanted thoughts, feelings, and sensations that trigger their avoidant behavior, and to develop the skills to distance from thoughts, and become more accepting of their feelings. Through this process, they learn that they can choose to act in line with chosen values, rather than be driven by experiential avoidance.

The aim of this study was to gain insight into the experiences of the FSP from the perspective of families and FSP family workers. Specifically, we wanted to first identify aspects of the Project that were considered to have supported change, and secondly to describe the outcomes related to these.

Materials and methods

Study design

This study used qualitative methods, drawing on data collected during semi-structured interviews and from written materials recorded in clinical notes. A thematic analysis of interviews with families, and FSP family workers, aimed to highlight key aspects related to the experiences of the FSP program, from the perspective of the family and FSP worker. The VLQ in the client records included clients written descriptions of behavioral changes made during the intervention, which were coded and summarized to identify common aspects of behavioral outcomes, as described by the parents misusing alcohol (PMAs). The qualitative research has been reported using the 32-item CORE-Q checklist, which is a tool to facilitate explicit and comprehensive reporting of interviews (Tong et al., 2007); we aimed to report on all applicable checklist items. The protocol and all associated documents (e.g.,

information sheets, consent forms) were submitted to the University of Southampton Ethics and Research Governance Board (ERGO) and approved on the 14th October 2019 (ID: 49,531).

Recruiting participants for family interviews

Convenience sampling was used to recruit interview participants. The criteria for family interviews included (i) families that have completed at least two sessions with their FSP family worker and (ii) families where at least one parent and one child were engaged. Families who met these criteria and engaged in the project between November 2019 and May 2020 were informed about the study by their family workers (provided a Participant Information Sheet (PIS)), and permission was obtained for an identified family member to be contacted by the researcher. The family could choose which members to take part. Children under seven years old were invited to be present during the interview but did not participate (Eggenberger & Nelms, 2007). All FSP workers were invited by e-mail to take part in the study, and provided PIS describing what would be involved, for example, making it clear participation was voluntary.

Qualitative interviews

Interviews took place between November 2019 and May 2020, in a community family hub, in the family home, or over the phone depending on the preference of the participant. The interviews were semi-structured and lasted up to 60 minutes. Ahead of the interview, participants had the opportunity to discuss the research to ensure the information was understood. Informed consent was obtained in written or verbal form depending on the format of the interview. Children between 7 and 16 years old were formally consented by their parents and were interviewed with a parent present. The questions were guided by a topic guide adapted from a prior study on the experiences of alcohol-dependent adults of a novel service (Dorey et al., 2021). Families were asked open questions about their experiences of the service, what had changed for them and what aspects of the program contributed to these changes: e.g.,

- (a) What has changed for you as a family since starting the program?
- (b) How have the lives of your children changed?
- (c) What or who do you consider was helpful in supporting changes. Probe for examples of specific situations.

Family workers were asked about their experiences of engaging families and delivering the intervention: e.g.,

- (a) What changes did you observe in the families you worked with?
- (b) What helped positive change and what barriers were there in your view?

Interviews were carried out by LD, who has worked in the alcohol field as a psychiatric nurse, therapist, and academic researcher. Participants were informed that she had experience in the field.

Qualitative data analysis

The interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed, and analyzed (by LD) in *NVivo version 12* using the thematic analysis approach of Braun and Clarke (2013, 2019). Thematic Analysis allows a data driven complete coding approach to be adopted, to capture experiences of participants from their perspective. Themes were developed from across the codes drawing on a pragmatic and contextual philosophy to highlight where 'actions' influence existence (Goldkuhl, 2004) from the perspective of the stakeholders, with attention to context. Family interviews were coded and developed into themes separately from family worker interviews. Related themes for family workers and families are presented under overarching theme headings (Braun & Clarke, 2019). Alongside the VLQ questionnaire, behavior changes were described as free text by PMAs at program review sessions (after 12 weeks of the intervention). These were coded using the domains of the VLQ as a framework and the content summarized.

Routine data

Routine data were collected from the start of the project in order to provide useful quantitative contextual information about the service (see next section), and to provide a summary of participant characteristics for those completing the VLQ (see, Table 1). Consent to share anonymized routine data for the purpose of the study was recorded by the FSP workers at assessment, and a data sharing agreement was in place.

Results

A brief quantitative description of families engaged in the project, between February 2019 and March 2020, is included here to provide context for the qualitative findings with the subgroups who were interviewed and the subgroup who completed the VLQ for the study. Sixty-one families engaged with the FSP during this period (including 57 PMAs) (15 additional families withheld consent for their data to be reported, and 12 families were referred but did not engage). The intervention was initially intended to last 12 weeks, but in the majority of cases the intervention was extended with a mean length of intervention of 21.65 weeks for completed cases ($n = 19$; data collected June 2020). Children were directly involved in the intervention for approximately 30% of total families. Both parents were involved in the intervention for 26% of total families, whereas only one adult family member took part in the intervention amongst 11.5% of total families. Other families were still receiving the intervention at data collection ($n = 38$) or had dropped out of treatment before completion ($n = 7$).

Qualitative interview findings

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the seven families (ten family members) taking part in the interviews. Twelve families were identified by practitioners as willing to be contacted by

Table 1. Characteristics of families interviewed.

| ID | Interview Participant(s) | Age PMA | Employment (PMA) | Relationship | Number of Children |
|----|--------------------------|---------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Male PMA | missing | Employed | In a relationship | 2 |
| 2 | Female PMA | 35–44 | Employed | In a relationship | 2 |
| 3a | Female PMA | 45–54 | Employed | In a relationship | 2 |
| 3b | Male partner | | Employed | | |
| 3c | Child | | | | |
| 4 | Female PMA | 35–44 | Long-term sick | Single | 4 |
| 5a | Male PMA | 45–54 | Employed | In a relationship | 4 |
| 5b | Female partner | | Employed | | |
| 6 | Male PMA | 35–44 | Unemployed | Single | 1 |
| 7 | Female PMA | 35–44 | Unemployed | Single | 2 |

PMA = Parent misusing alcohol

researchers; recruitment of these families was impacted by COVID19 lockdown in March 2020. Seven families took part in the interviews including seven PMAs (four were female, and three males), two partners and one child. Six FSP family workers took part in the interviews and were all female. Table 2 shows a summary of the themes and example quotes.

Overarching theme 1: building trust through understanding led to opening up

Subtheme 1. Families: ‘comfortable to open up’

All of the participants commented on the positive relationship with the FSP family worker and linked this relationship to being able to open up. The concept of trust was central to these descriptions and allowed the person to open up honestly.

Several participants described a sense of non-judgment as being an important factor in developing trust; ‘I *didn’t* ever feel like I was being judged.’ (Family 1; PMA) Another aspect of non-judgment was not feeling labeled: ‘they weren’t labeling me as an alcoholic.’ (Family 2; PMA) The experience of being understood was also linked to non-judgment, for example, through the worker recognition of how personal history had contributed to current circumstance.

Subtheme 2. Family workers: ‘gaining trust’

Most participants emphasized the importance of building up a relationship with the families,

Once you gain that trust and build that relationship with clients, the clients are more willing to open up and be honest about the situation. (Worker 2)

The same worker went on to explain how some individuals they work with can see professionals as their opponents, or ‘the other side,’ and that ‘a positive relationship closes the gap between us and them.’ (Worker 2)

With some families, relationship building could take time, and would be the focus of the first few sessions. Understanding the family history, trauma and the impact on the family was part of a non-judgmental approach, which could be a significant factor to the process of developing trust.

All the workers spoke about the difficulty in gaining permission from parents to work with children. In some cases, it was possible to gain access to working with the children once trust was established or once the impact on the children was recognized.

In summary, building trust was seen as a key process that developed over time. Families and FSP workers felt that understanding how family histories/traumas had contributed to

Table 2. Examples of quotes from families and practitioners by overarching theme.

| Over-arching Themes | Families Quotes | Practitioner Quotes |
|--|--|--|
| Theme 1 Building trust through understanding led to opening up | <p><i>It's just given me that sort of boost of, when you talk to someone that you trust . . . she's straight with me and I'm straight with her (Family 6; PMA).</i></p> <p><i>The difference is [my worker] got to know the circumstances – she got to know a bit of the background, which is so much more helpful than just being judged.' (Family 4; PMA)</i></p> | <p><i>That sense that even when things are difficult or have gone wrong in a family, that people have been able to see that they're not kind of faulty, not to blame.' (Worker 1)</i></p> <p><i>'I was speaking to her [a client] yesterday, she said, ' the kids are affected aren't they.' It's taken that little bit of time to buildup the relationship with me, to be able to let that out and then maybe let me work with the kids as well.' (Worker 3)</i></p> |
| Theme 2: Working flexibly, whole families changed | <p><i>'She's helped not just me, my whole family and they trust her a lot, so she's helped rebuild things, because everything was just a mess.' (Family 4; PMA)</i></p> | <p><i>I'm seeing mum, dad, sometimes mum and dad together, sometimes taking teenager for coffee (Worker 6).</i></p> |
| Theme 3: Waking up to the impact of drinking on family life | <p><i>She only has to ask two maybe three questions and that triggers change because it forces me to recognize it . . . I'm uncomfortable listening. (Family 5; PMA)</i></p> <p><i>'On a daily basis I write what's important to me. It's about my behavior and things, looking at what's, putting me toward what's important to me: My children, having a good relationship with my children, my family and being healthy again. Actually, enjoying things with my family again and family life.' (Family 2; PMA)</i></p> <p><i>The family time and things were very important to me. Once that was realised . . . it woke me up quickly. It's a good motivator to have.'(Family1; PMA)</i></p> | <p><i>'When individuals understand the effect their behaviour is having on the family, it's like a massive platform to make changes.' (Worker 2)</i></p> <p><i>'I'm not comfortable with that' . . . once that is said by a child, that has quite a big impact for the parents, to realize what the impact is actually having on the children. (Worker 6)'</i></p> <p><i>'I think that can open parents eyes to . . . ok these are the things that the children need, these are the things that you are doing great . . . when alcohol is involved, what are the things that can be affected.' (Worker 3).</i></p> |
| Theme 4: the ACT model | <p><i>Taking note of my behaviours, . . . whether those behaviours are taking me towards or away from what's important to me. So, I'm more aware of my behavior now.' (Family 7; PMA)</i></p> <p><i>I can actually talk to them [my family] and say, actually I'm not having a particularly good day, I need some support. (Family 2; PMA)</i></p> | <p><i>It's like a beacon to come back to, how shall we manage this? What would the theory of the model say? (Worker 1)</i></p> <p><i>One thing I've tried to hammer home to all my families is that the drinking person is going to have those thoughts of drinking, actually if they say to you, God the intrusive thoughts here, I'm absolutely climbing the walls because I want a drink. It is ok to say that because they are not acting on it." (Worker 3)</i></p> |

PMA = Parent misusing alcohol; Worker = Family Support Worker

current family problems was important in relieving their sense of being judged. This level of trust and understanding were factors enabling family members to open up honestly, so that the real needs of families could be addressed. Workers also highlighted difficulty in gaining permission to work with children and that trust could play a role in gaining permission.

Overarching theme 2: working flexibly, whole families changed

Subtheme 1. Families: 'She's helped my whole family'

When the whole family is supported to understand the changes taking place this was beneficial, which enabled them to move forward together. In some situations, family members were seen together, for example, to improve understanding and communication between family members: *'We can say things we probably wouldn't say to each other without somebody being here.'* (Family 5, PMA) At times seeing family members separately was also

perceived as being beneficial. For example, children were often seen separately for part of a session to help create a context where they could open up: *'I know that the children wouldn't want to talk too much in front of me.'* (Family 3, PMA) In another example, the partner of the PMA benefitted from a session on her own: *'someone is listening, and I can see a noticeable change [in my wife].'* (Family 1; PMA)

Subtheme 2. Family workers: 'families that worked together changed together.'

Practitioners highlighted how working with more than one family member could encourage systemic change: *'the best change is when everyone is involved.'* (Worker 5) Practitioners described how they worked flexibly with different family members. Even if one family member did not engage in the intervention formally, being in the family home provided opportunities to keep family members informed: *'they are still milling around the house, they might pop in'* (Worker 3)

Families and workers valued the flexible approach to working with different family members, seeing individuals, pairs, and groups of family members. Both groups highlighted the benefits of involving more family members, opening up opportunities for families to change as a whole; for example, understanding what was changing, and why changes were happening.

Overarching theme 3: waking up to the impact of drinking on family life

Subtheme 1. Families 'A massive eye opener.'

Participants all felt that the children were a priority in their lives: *my kids are the main thing* (Family 7; PMA). However, families also described the emotional stress on the children as they anticipated or witnessed their parent drinking: *'what mood is mummy going to be in?'* (Family 2; PMA) or *'mum's acting weird'* (Family 3; child). For older children, exposure to long-term parental drinking was perceived as a concern and, in some cases, had eroded the relationship between the parent and child. Discipline could also be affected; *'I've lost the authority.'* (Family 4; PMA) Five of the families described the impact of parental drinking on the quality and time spent interacting with the children, for example: *'he wouldn't make the effort, he didn't spend the time that he needed.'* (Family 5; partner)

Several of the PMA participants explained how the intervention had contributed toward facing up to the impact of drinking on the children and how this led to change. This could be a challenging uncomfortable experience. The Values focused part of the assessment was often described as means to support PMAs to become aware of their priorities and, through deliberate focus or repetition, this increased awareness of their personal and family values. Some participants identified the focus on their personal values as motivational, by helping them to see the conflict between their family values and the impact of drinking.

Subtheme 2. Family workers: 'a platform for change.'

Family workers reported that PMAs had made changes to their drinking, which were preceded by shifts in awareness around the impact of their drinking. One way that parents came to recognize their impact was when the child opened up to the parent. A shift in awareness was seen to be facilitated when the work shone a light on the conflict between the impact of drinking and meeting the child's needs and their own positive parenting values.

In summary, some PMA participants described waking up to the impact of their drinking on their children during sessions with their family worker, and that this was a strong motivator for change. As the PMA reflected on their parenting values this increased

their awareness of the divergence between their drinking and their values through, for example, an understanding of how drinking interfered with the value of quality time spent with children. Practitioners described facilitating and witnessing these shifts in awareness and motivation.

Overarching theme 4: the ACT model

Subtheme 1 Families: 'I'm more aware of my behavior now.'

Several participants described being able to identify the behaviors that take them toward and away from what is important (as identified in values and matrix work). Other participants described being able to identify unwanted thoughts and feelings that, they recognized, could drive habitual unhelpful behaviors. The below example demonstrates how the participant learned how to recognize unwanted feelings and the associated urges to buy alcohol, and how to work with these internal experiences through acceptance of uncomfortable feelings.

Saying [to myself], I'm allowed to feel a bit crappy today, but that doesn't mean that I can [drink]. What's going to make me feel better, [drink] or just to sit with those feelings? (Family 2; PMA)

Three participants expressed their appreciation of the support they were given to take active steps to cut down on their drinking, rather than simply being told to stop drinking, for example: *'It wasn't just, "don't do this," and they'd disappear.'* (Family 1; PMA)

Work with children was often described by parents as work on expressing feelings and coping strategies. The one child interviewed described this work:

We write down our emotions a lot, it's helped when we write down how we're feeling, and when we're feeling – what's happening, and what we do when it's happening, so we can cope a bit better. (Family 3; child)

Subtheme 2. Family Workers: 'Weaving it all together [using the ACT model].'

All the family workers spoke positively about using the ACT model, describing it as central to their approach. One of the participants articulated her view about the importance of having a central model, while also using a range of different models to inform the work. This participant explained how the structured parental conflict program which formed part of the worker's training, did not really fit comfortably into the FSP program and it was more helpful to weave together strands of the approach with aspects of the ACT model.

Weaving it all together has felt more natural and useful than having a separate intervention about the relationship Because the ACT model lends itself to any kinds of behaviour, the relationship stuff sometimes emerges . . . it's about the behaviour being helpful or unhelpful or useful or un-useful, which is good to use. (Worker 1)

All the family workers highlighted the impact of the values focus of the ACT-based approach. They found that this could be contrasted with the families' prior experiences of services, where the focus might be on drinking or a more directive approach.

ACT, I think it's a really good way for individuals to make their own goals instead of being told what to do, because I think historically social care told clients what to do, and it wasn't necessarily what they wanted. (Worker 2)

Using the ACT Matrix model, PMA family members were taught to differentiate between behavior toward values, and behavior that takes them away. This awareness could open up the possibility to make better decisions and was seen as empowering; *then you can make a choice* (*Worker5*.) Another key aspect of the ACT model was the normalization of thoughts about drinking. They witnessed the PMAs learning to recognize and talk about thoughts associated with drinking, without needing to act on these thoughts.

Interventions with children and teenagers allowed them to speak about their experiences, accept and express their feelings, and to develop new ways of coping with feelings. In summary, the ACT model was seen as guiding the focus of the intervention toward the families' own priorities. PMAs became more aware of thinking, feelings, and behaviors and learnt to accept thoughts about drinking when they were normalized. Children and teenagers were reported to have learnt to accept and express feelings and new coping strategies. This was seen as an empowering approach by families and practitioners, allowing families to make positive changes.

Descriptions of behavior change recorded at review meetings

Table 3 shows the characteristics of those PMAs who completed the descriptions of behavioral change, guided by the domains of the VLQ, at review meetings after 12 or more weeks of the intervention ($n = 20$; collected between 15th April 2019-December 2019). The majority of PMAs were young adults, female, living with their children, in regular

Table 3. Characteristics of PMA completing the VLQ ($n = 20$).

| | | PMA/ VLQ ($n = 20$) | |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-------|
| | | n | % |
| Gender | Female | 15 | 75.0 |
| | Male | 5 | 25.0 |
| Age | 18–24 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 25–34 | 9 | 45.0 |
| | 35–44 | 8 | 40.0 |
| | 45–54 | 2 | 10.0 |
| | >54 | 1 | 5.0 |
| Ethnicity | White British | 20 | 100.0 |
| | Other | 0 | |
| Employment | Homemaker | 0 | 0.0 |
| | Long term sick or disabled | 2 | 10.0 |
| | Regular Employment | 14 | 70.0 |
| | Unemployed and not seeking work | 2 | 10.0 |
| | Unemployed and seeking work | 1 | 5.0 |
| | Not receiving benefits | 1 | 5.0 |
| Housing | Not known | 0 | 0.0 |
| | Housing problem | 2 | 10.0 |
| AUDIT ($n = 14$) | No housing problem | 18 | 90.0 |
| | Increasing risk 8–15 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | Higher Risk 16–19 | 2 | 14.0 |
| | Likely dependence 20+ | 12 | 86.0 |
| Mental Health | Mental health issue identified* | 14 | 70.0 |
| | (*In treatment with GP) | (12) | 30.0 |
| | (*In treatment other) | (1) | |
| | (*No current treatment) | (1) | |
| Parental status | No mental health issue identified | 6 | |
| | All the children live with the client | 17 | 85.0 |
| | Some of the children live with the PMA | 2 | 10.0 |
| | None of the children live with the PMA | 1 | 5.0 |

PMA = Parents misusing alcohol; VLQ = Valued Living Questionnaire; AUDIT = Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (The AUDIT is a screening tool that indicates low, increasing, and higher risk drinking, and a score of 20 or more suggests likely dependence (Reinert & Allen, 2002).

employment and in stable accommodation. A high proportion of the PMAs indicated likely alcohol dependency (82%) in their AUDIT score and had mental health concerns (54%) which were being treated (81%), usually in primary care.

The analysis of the PMAs (n = 20) descriptions of behavior changes at review meetings provided insight into the nature of changes made and the impact on family life. (Table 4 provides examples of new actions described by participants for each of the 10 VLQ domains.) In the domain of health, participants frequently described cutting down or stopping drinking altogether, and that this change improved their physical and mental health. Other new behaviors in the health domain involved increasing exercise or working on nutrition and engaging with medical help. The category of spiritual/personal development was used to describe other aspects of their personal recovery journey, such as

Table 4. Examples of coding and PMAs descriptions of change based on the 10 domains of the VLQ.

| Domains | Number, n | Quotes from PMA participants (N = 20) |
|---|--------------|--|
| Health | | |
| Stopped drinking | 7 | Zero drinking |
| Reduced drinking | 6 | Cut down to only having a drink on a Friday when the Kids are with dad. |
| Eating improved | 9 | Eating healthy dinners |
| Exercise increased | 5 | Used the gym to maintain physical and mental health and become more disciplined in running |
| Health treatment engagement | 5 | Taking meds that help with mental health |
| Spirituality and/or Personal Development | 8 | Understanding my kids a lot more . . . reading up on stuff about my children's perspective, learning about my family history and how this impacts me. |
| Partner | | |
| Time and activities | 9 | Spending an evening a week doing something nice together and back in the same bed instead of sleeping on the sofa |
| Communicating better | 7 | Bite tongue to make things smoother, but also get annoyed and say as opposed to bottle up |
| Ending relationship | 3 | I've decided to end my relationship to focus on my recovery and on caring for my daughter |
| Parenting | | |
| Communicating better | 6 | I can take on board how the children feel and how I feel. Listening more to the children and being more 'mum,' it feels like not so much of an effort. |
| Doing activities | 10 | spending more time with the kids, doing crafts, playing outside and thinking outside of the box for ways to keep them happy |
| Keeping boundaries and routine | 4 | Getting up early, Kids to school on time, |
| Other family | | |
| Seeing family | 10 | Trying to talk to mum and dad more, spent time together at Christmas, sometimes having Sunday lunch together |
| Distancing from family | 3 | Realized they bring a lot of drama to my life, I'm putting myself and my kids first |
| Friends | | |
| Seeing friends | 12 | Taking more time and effort to reach out and speak to friends more often, improving my mental health in having more 'adult time' |
| Distancing from friends | 2 | I've distanced myself from some people as I can't get involved and I like my own company. |
| Work | | |
| Seeking work | 3 | Was laid off due to coronavirus, but am working hard to find a new job |
| Change in performance | 3 | Not drinking at work so better productivity |
| Not working for now | 3 | I'm concentrating on my recovery and taking another month off work |
| Learning | 5 | Looking for courses/degrees |
| Recreation | 6 | I enjoy going out for walks, doing my self-care schedule |
| Community | 3 | Engaging more consistently with A.A meetings and online meetings, attending church as and when possible locally and the church toddler group |

PMA = Parents misusing alcohol

VLQ = Valued Living Questionnaire

increased self-awareness, or reading about relevant issues. Parents also described spending more quality time with the children and other family members, enjoying activities together, communicating better, and managing boundaries positively. Some unhealthy relationships were ended or distanced from. Changes in the domains of work, recreation, and learning were more varied and less frequently described.

Discussion

This study explored the experiences of workers delivering, and families receiving, a program designed to meet the needs of families impacted by PAM. PMA participants had alcohol problems at the more severe end of the spectrum, and commonly also experienced co-existing mental health issues. The themes highlighted the importance of non-judgmental understanding in building trust with families, the potential benefits of taking a flexible whole family approach, the process by which families recognized the impact of drinking on children, and the acceptability of applying the ACT model to facilitate whole family change. The descriptions of behavior change related to the VLQ helped to further describe the outcomes relevant to these families.

As commonly recognized in the literature, our findings show that the impact of PAM on families can be a hidden problem (Galligan & Comiskey, 2019). The findings from this study contribute to our understanding on how to overcome such barriers to engagement. Typically building trust through a non-judgmental approach was essential for engaging families; for some families this was facilitated by understanding the family history and how trauma contributed to their experienced difficulties. This corresponds with a large body of research on the use of a non-judgmental empathic, rather than a confrontational, approach in the addictions field (Davidson & White, 2007). The intervention goes further than the practice of non-judgment to actively recognize the impact of trauma and family histories on clients and families, and this depth of understanding was important in the context of families that often felt judged or stigmatized. The importance of trauma informed work for women with addictions has been highlighted by Covington (2008); due to the high prevalence of related violence and abuse it is not enough to focus treatment solely on the addiction as has been the dominant model.

The Cochrane review (McGovern et al., 2021) found integrated parenting and substance misuse intervention as more effective; but did not advocate seeing children and PMA together. By taking a whole family approach families developed a better understanding of one another, were able to change together, and support each other's changes. Through the flexible implementation of the whole family approach, members were seen together or separately according to need, and children were often seen separately for part of the intervention; this sensitivity to the needs of different family members at different times may be an important aspect of the FSP intervention, potentially impacting effectiveness.

The ACT approach with families experiencing PAM can be seen as acceptable to practitioners and families, and merits further research. The focus on values work alongside highlighting the impact of drinking on children helped develop discrepancy and motivation to change, an approach informed by Motivational Interviewing. There was evidence, in both family worker and family descriptions, that key elements of the ACT model were being delivered and were contributing to change. These new skills engendered a greater understanding on how to manage the children and teenagers'

experiences in the family and their feelings, the PMA's alcohol problems and co-existing mental health issues, interpersonal issues between family members, as well as being able to take steps toward 'better family lives'.

Outcomes in studies of interventions for parental substance misuse have included alcohol and drug misuse, completion of treatment, and the number of children entering care (McGovern et al., 2018, 2021). While these outcomes are important consequences of interventions for these populations, they do not capture the broader nature of change conceptualized in this paper as '*better family lives*.' The VLQ domains offered a tool which quantitatively captured broad categories of change in 10 living domains; furthermore, the qualitative analysis of VLQ data showed that there were more precise areas of behavior change that were common across the families. Future studies in this field could seek to capture these positive changes by adapting the VLQ or developing a bespoke outcome tool.

There were some limitations to this study. Firstly, because of the Covid-19 pandemic and lockdown activities, we were able to undertake fewer family interviews than planned. Specifically, it would have strengthened the evaluation to have more interviews with children. We also sought to recruit families where children engaged; an understanding of the perspective of families where children did not engage would have been helpful in hindsight, as well as the experiences of families who dropped out of treatment. Data collection was, however, sufficient to identify themes from families and family workers that were largely in concordance with identifying the valued aspects of the program, and complimentary in providing different perspectives.

Conclusion

Despite the recognized harms, there are significant challenges to engaging families impacted by PAM. This paper has highlighted the benefits of developing a separate service to social services and mainstream alcohol services to tackle PAM, by employing a flexible '*whole family approach*' that draws on values-based work through the ACT model to support '*better family lives*.'

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the support given by the team at the Society of St James charity toward this research study.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work was supported by Public Health England, Portsmouth City Council

ORCID

Lucy Dorey PHD, MEd, BSc  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5580-6592>

Data availability statement

The datasets are not available due to the sensitive nature of the study, as they contain confidential information that could compromise participant confidentiality and consent.

References

- Baker, R., Orton, E., Tata, L. J., & Kendrick, D. (2015). Risk factors for long-bone fractures in children up to 5 years of age: A nested case-control study. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 100(5), 432–437. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2013-305715>
- Balsa, A. I., & French, M. T. (2012). The impact of parental drinking on children's use of health care. *Substance Use Misuse*, 47(4), 450–460. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10826084.2011.648044>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2013). *Successful qualitative research. A practical guide for beginners*. Sage.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 589–597. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806>
- Byrne, S. P., Haber, P., Baillie, A., Costa, D. S. J., Fogliati, V., & Morley, K. (2019). Systematic reviews of mindfulness and acceptance and commitment therapy for alcohol use disorder: Should we be using third wave therapies? *Journal of Alcohol and Alcoholism*, 54(2), 159–166. <https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/agy089>
- Covington, S. S. (2008). Women and addiction: A trauma-informed approach. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 40(sup5), 377–385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2008.10400665>
- Coyne, L. W., McHugh, L., & Martinez, E. R. (2011). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT): Advances and applications with children, adolescents, and families. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 20(2), 379–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2011.01.010>
- Davidson, L., & White, W. (2007). The concept of recovery as an organizing principle for integrating mental health and addiction services. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 34(2), 109–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11414-007-9053-7>
- Dorey, L., Lathlean, J., Roderick, P., & Westwood, G. (2021). Patient experiences of alcohol specialist nurse interventions in a general hospital, and onwards care pathways. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 77(4), 1945–1955. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14777>
- Eggenberger, S. K., & Nelms, T. P. (2007). Family interviews as a method for family research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 58(3), 282–292. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04238.x>
- Galligan, K., & Comiskey, C. M. (2019). Hidden harms and the number of children whose parents misuse substances: A stepwise methodological framework for estimating prevalence. *Subst Use Misuse*, 54(9), 1429–1437. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10826084.2019.1584224>
- Goldkuhl, G. (2004). Meanings of pragmatism: Ways to conduct information systems research. *Accepted to the 2nd International Conference on Action in Language, Organisations and Information Systems* Linköping University, Sweden.
- Griswold, M. G., Fullman, N., Hawley, C., Arian, N., Zimsen, S. R., Tymeson, H. D., Venkateswaran, V., Tapp, A. D., Forouzanfar, M. H., Salama, J. S., & Abate, K. H. (2018). Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2016. *The Lancet*, 392(10152), 1015–1035. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31310-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31310-2)
- Hayes, S. C., & Levin, M. E. (2012). *Mindfulness and acceptance for addictive behaviours: Applying contextual CBT to substance abuse and behavioural addictions*. New Harbinger.
- Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K. D., & Wilson, K. G. (1999). *Acceptance and commitment therapy: An experiential approach to behaviour change*. The Guildford Press.
- Keeley, H. S., Mongwa, T., & Corcoran, P. (2015). The association between parental and adolescent substance misuse: Findings from the Irish CASE study. *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 32(1), 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ipm.2014.87>
- Malone, S. M., McGue, M., & Iacono, W. G. (2002). Drinks of the father: Father's maximum number of drinks consumed predicts externalizing disorders, substance use, and substance use disorders in preadolescent and adolescent offspring. *Alcoholism: Clinical Experimental Research*, 1823–1832. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2002.tb02489.x>

- Malone, S. M., McGue, M., & Iacono, W. G. (2010). Mothers' maximum drinks ever consumed in 24 hours predicts mental health problems in adolescent Offspring. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 51(9), 1067–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02219.x>
- McGovern, R., Gilvarry, E., Addison, M., Alderson, H., Carr, L., Geijer-Simpson, E., Hrisos, N., Lingam, R., Minos, D., Smart, D., & Kaner, E. (2018). Addressing the impact of non-dependent parental substance misuse upon children: A rapid review of the evidence of prevalence, impact and effective interventions. *Public Health England*. https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/29045/1/McGovern_Addressing%20the%20impact%20of%20parental%20non-dependent%20substance%20misuse%20upon%20the%20child.pdf
- McGovern, R., Newham, J. J., Addison, M. T., Hickman, M., & Kaner, E. F. (2021). Effectiveness of psychosocial interventions for reducing parental substance misuse. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012823.pub2>
- Meyer, E. C., Walser, R., Hermann, B., La Bash, H., DeBeer, B. B., Morissette, S. B., Kimbrel, N. A., Kwok, O.-M., Batten, S. V., & Schnurr, P. P. (2018). Acceptance and commitment therapy for co-occurring posttraumatic stress disorder and alcohol use disorders in veterans: pilot treatment outcomes. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 31(5), 781–789. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22322>
- Miller, M. B., Meier, E., Lombardi, N., Leavens, E. L., Grant, D. M., & Leffingwell, T. R. (2016). The valued living questionnaire for alcohol use: Measuring value-behavior discrepancy in college student drinking. *Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 28(9), 1051–1060. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000235>
- Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2012). *Motivational interviewing: Helping people change*. Guilford press.
- Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology. (2018) *Parental alcohol misuse and children: Research briefing*. UK. Retrived <https://post.parliament.uk/research-briefings/post-pn-0570/>
- Petersen, C. L., & Zettle, R. D. (2009). Treating inpatients with comorbid depression and alcohol disorders: A comparison of acceptance and acceptance and commitment therapy versus treatment as usual. *Psychological Record*, 59(4), 521. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03395679>
- Polk, K. L., Schoendorff, B., Webster, M., & Fabian, O. (2016). *The essential guide to the ACT matrix: A step-by-step approach to using the ACT matrix model in clinical practice*. Context press.
- Pryce, R., Buykx, P., Gray, L., Stone, T., Drummond, C., & Brennan, A. (2017). *Estimates of alcohol dependence in England based on APMS 2014, including estimates of children living in a household with an adult with alcohol dependence: Prevalence, trends, amenability to treatment*. Public Health England.
- Reinert, D., & Allen, J. (2002). The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): A review of recent research. *Alcoholism: Clinical & Experimental Research*, 26(2), 272–279. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2002.tb02534.x>
- Rosow, I., Pape, H., & Wichstrom, L. (1999). Young, wet & wild? Associations between alcohol intoxication and violent behaviour in adolescence. *Addiction*, 94(7), 1017–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1360-0443.1999.94710177.x>
- Taylor, A., Toner, P., Templeton, L., & Velleman, R. (2006). Parental alcohol misuse in complex families: The implications for engagement. *British Journal of Social Work*, 38(5), 843–864. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcl374>
- Thekiso, T. B., Murphy, P., Milnes, J., Lambe, K., Curtin, A., & Farren, C. K. (2015). Acceptance and commitment therapy in the treatment of alcohol use disorder and comorbid affective disorder: A pilot matched control trial. *Behavior Therapy*, 46(6), 717–728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2015.05.005>
- Tong, A., Sainsbury, P., & Craig, J. (2007). Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): A 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 19(6), 349–357. <https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzm042>
- Usher, A. M., McShane, K. E., & Dwyer, C. (2015). A realist review of family-based interventions for children of substance abusing parents. *Systematic Review*, 4(1), 177. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-015-0158-4>
- White, W., & Kurtz, E. (2006). The varieties of recovery experience. *International Journal of Self Help and Self Care*, 3(1–2), 21–61. <https://doi.org/10.2190/911R-MTQ5-VJ1H-75CU>
- Wilson, K. G., Sandoz, E. K., Kitchens, J., & Roberts, M. E. (2010). The valued living questionnaire: Defining and measuring valued action within a behavioral framework. *The Psychological Record*, 60(2), 249–272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03395706>